Proposal # 2001- Idod (Office Use Only)

| PS  | P Cover Sheet (Attach to the front of ea              | ch proposa      |  |
|-----|---|-----------------|--|
| Pro | posal Title:Estuary Acting Challeng                   | rovi rOf        | mental Education Project                                 |
| Ap  | plicant Name: Farth Island Institute/                 | Estuary /       | Artion Challenge   |
| Co  | ntact Name:   |                 |  |
| Ma  | ailing Address: <u>9534</u> <u>Arlington Boulavar</u> | rd, Richmo      | ond_ CA 94805  |
| Te  | lephone: (510) 755-5755                               |                 |  |
| Fa  | x:(510) 235-3785                                      |                 |  |
| En  | nail: <u>mandii4@aol.com</u>                          |                 |  |
|     |   |                 |  |
| Ar  | nount of funding requested: \$50,000                  |                 |  |
| So  | me entities charge different costs dependent          | on the sour     | ce of the funds. If it is different for state or federal |
| fui | nds list below.                                       |                 |  |
| Sta | ate cost  | Feder           | al cost  |
|     |   |                 |  |
| Co  | ost share partners?                                   | Χ               | YesNo  |
| Ide | entify partners and amount contributed by ea          | ch_Sierra       | Yes No.<br>2 Club. \$30_000                              |
|     |   | Goldma          | an Fund—\$20,000——————                                   |
|     |   |                 | ·  |
| In  | dicate the Topic for which you are applying           | ng (check o     | · ·  |
|     | Natural Flow Regimes                                  |                 | Beyond the Riparian Corridor                             |
|     | Nonnative Invasive Species                            |                 | Local Watershed Stewardship                              |
|     | Channel Dynamics/Sediment Transport                   | ×               | Environmental Education                                  |
|     | Flood Management                                      |                 | Special Status Species Surveys and Studies               |
|     | Shallow Water Tidal/ Marsh Habitat                    |                 | Fishery Monitoring, Assessment and Research              |
|     | Contaminants  |                 | Fish Screens   |
|     |   |                 |  |
| W   | hat county or counties is the project located         | in? <u>Alam</u> | eda and Contra Costa Counties. CA                        |
|     |   |                 |  |
| W   | hat CALFED ecozone is the project locate              | ed in? See a    | attached list and indicate number. Be as specific as     |
| po  | ssible <u>Ecozone Two San</u> Francisco Ba            | ay              |  |
|     |   |                 |  |
| In  | dicate the type of applicant (check only one          | box):           |  |
|     | State agency  |                 | Federal agency   |
|     | Public/Non-profit joint venture                       | X               | Non-profit   |
|     | Local government/district                             |                 | Tribes   |
|     | University  |                 | Private party  |
|     | Other:  | _               |  |

| Ind   | icate the primary species which the proposal  | addres         | ses (check all that apply):     |             |
|-------|---|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
|       | San Joaquin and East-side Delta tributaries fal   | l-run chi      | nook salmon                     |             |
|       | Winter-run chinook salmon   |                | Spring-run chinook salmon       |             |
|       | Late-fall run chinook salmon  |                | Fall-run chinook salmon         |             |
|       | Delta smelt   | O <sub>.</sub> | Longfin smelt                   |             |
|       | Splittail   | ×              | Steelhead.trout                 |             |
|       | Green sturgeon  |                | Striped bass                    |             |
|       | White Sturgeon  |                | All chinook species             |             |
|       | Waterfowl and Shorebirds  |                | All anadromous salmonids        |             |
| X     | Migratory buds  |                | American shad                   |             |
|       | Other listed T/E species: Native plants, t  | ree <u>fro</u> | )                               |             |
| Ind   | icate the type of project (check only one box) Research/Monitoring Pilot/Demo Project Full-scale Implementation | ):<br><b>X</b> | Watershed Planning<br>Education | ,           |
|       | is a next-phase of an ongoing project? e you received funding from CALFED before?                               | Yes 🗶<br>Yes 🗶 | No<br>No——                      |             |
| If ye | s, list project title and CALFED number <u>Estuary</u><br>00FC2001  |                | Challenge Environmenta          | l Education |
| Hav   | e you received funding from CVPIA before?   | Yes            | No <u>X</u>                     |             |
| If ye | s, list CVPIA program providing funding, project title  | and CVI        | PIA number (if applicable):     |             |

#### By signing below, the applicant declares the following:

- The truthfulness of all representations in their proposal;
- The individual signing the form is entitled to submit the application on behalf of the applicant (if the applicant is an entity or organization); and
- The person submitting the application has read and understood the conflict of interest and confidentiality discussion in the PSP (Section 2.4) and waives any and all rights to privacy and confidentiality of the proposal behalf of the applicant, to the extent as provided in the Section.

Printed name of applicant

Signature of applicant

# ESTUARY ACTION CHARLENGE









Estuary Action Challenge inspires children to connect with the world around them and help restore a healthier environment. We are committed to education through action and diversity.

#### B. Executive Summary

#### Estuary Action Challenge Environmental Education Project

\$50,000 requested from CALFED Bay-Delta to expand environmental education programs.

#### Contact Information:

Mandi Billinge, Estuary Action Challenge, Executive Director 6534 Arlington Boulevard, Richmond, CA 94805, Phone/Fax: (510)235-3785 E-mail: mandij4@aol.com, Web Site: www.earthisland.org/eac

**Participants** 105 elementary school teachers and **2,500** elementary school students, 75% low-income, children of color.

Collaborators: West Contra Costa County School District, Berkeley Unified School District, Oakland Unified School District, East Bay Municipal Utility District (Restoration/Outreach Program), East Bay Regional Park District (Local Park Supervisors), Alameda County Clean Water, Contra Costa County Clean Water.

**Summary Description:** Estuary Action Challenge (EAC) is the environmental education project of Earth Island Institute, founded in **1992**, focusing on the San Francisco Bay Estuary. EAC works with elementary school teachers and students to explore, clean-up and restore creek and bay habitats, reduce urban runoff pollution and address issues of water quality and safe bay food consumption. Students in EAC programs:

- plant trees and wildflowers along urban creeks,
- organize community creek and bay habitat clean-ups,
- raise tree frogs in classrooms to release back into creek homes,
- design and distribute creative outreach materials to inform school communities about reducing urban runoff pollution,
- express concerns about estuary pollution issues using letter writing campaigns, interviews with politicians and play performances,
- interview people fishing on bay piers about safe bay food consumption and distribute informational flyers in seven different languages,
- demonstrate safe bay fish cooking to families at school-community events and teach safety precautions that reduce health risks.

Programs will take place in Richmond, San Pablo, Oakland and Berkeley in the East San Francisco Bay Area, focusing on urban creek and bay habitats.

EAC provides long-term, in-depth support for teachers and the opportunity for teachers to learn alongside their students. EAC trains teachers to continue teaching programs by modeling lessons with each class, providing curriculum guides and equipment packages.

Hypothesis Being Tested: Effective environmental education leads directly to active involvement with estuary habitat conservation projects, increased appreciation for estuary resources and increased understanding of restoration activities.

<u>Expected Outcome</u>: The goal of **EAC** in environmental education, is to focus on education through action, including restoration, pollution reduction and community outreach. We work to empower students to become part of the solution to environmental problems. We are committed to diversity and environmental justice in our work.

Applicab "ity To CALFED Environmental Education Goals; The EAC 'project will increase public awareness, knowledge, understanding and appreciation of natural resources and increase active participation in ecosystem restoration activities, pollution reduction and safe bay food consumption activities and issues.

# **C.** Project Description

#### 1. Statement of the problem

#### a. Problem

<u>Problem 1.</u> Degraded urban creeks, used **as** trash dumping sites, overgrown with invasive plants and lacking native plants and animals.

Objective: Adopt, clean-up and restore urban creek habitats.

Solutions; The local community becomes committed to protecting and restoring their local creek

<u>Problem 2.</u> Non-point source pollution runoff from streets, farms and gardens and direct dumping into storm drains is a major source of pollution entering the estuary. <u>Objective</u>: Increase public awareness of methods to reduce urban runoff pollution to the estuary.

*Solutions:* Citizens are educated to stop dumping into storm drains and to reduce pollutants leaching into ground water, to reduce this major source of pollution to the estuary.

Problem 3. Many families fishing from the San Francisco Bay depend on the food caught as a major part of their diet, but fish and shell fish in the S.F. Bay are contaminated with pollutants including: methylmercury, polychlorinated biphenyls, dioxins and pesticides. Consumption of these pollutants increases the risk of neurotoxicity and cancer, especially in children. Over 70% of people fishing from the San Francisco Bay and eating the food are people of color. For many, English is not their first spoken language and bay food health warning information, already limited in scope, is not easily accessible. Another source of contaminated fish is local fish markets which often sell fish caught in the bay. Student participants in the EAC Pollution Reduction/Safe Bay Food Consumption program are over 90% children of color, whose families fish from the bay and shop at local fish markets.

Objective: Increase the number of people aware of bay pollution issues and using safe bay food consumption practices to reduce intake of toxins and improve community health.

Solutions: Increased public awareness of pollution issues and safe bay food consumption practices.

<u>Problem 4.</u> Teacher training workshops in environmental education are <u>difficult</u> to implement in the classroom, 95% of elementary school teachers interviewed have told EAC Staff.

Qbiective: Increase the number of teachers using local bay and creek habitats as key educational resources to empower students to help solve bay-delta estuary environmental problems.

**Solutions:** Teachers trained using the unique EAC model continue teaching programs year after year with each new class of students. They use knowledge of teaching strategies learned in their hands-on, site-based training, EAC curriculum guides, equipment provided, and connections with resource people made through EAC.

"Ihave been in several workshops where educators train the teachers to then go teach our students without direct support. Somehow it never translates into the classroom experience. Working with Estuary Action Challenge has allowed me to teach with terrific teachers while learning along with my students. Therefore the experience is easy to repeat, extend and enrich."

Sharon Strachan, Fifth Grade Teacher, Thousand Oaks School, Berkeley

#### b. Conceptual Model

<u>Urban Creek Restoration Program</u>

EAC partners with third grade teachers and students to adopt urban creeks within walking distance of targeted schools. In 8 two-hour EAC lessons and four planning meetings,

teachers learn to teach hands-on ecology lessons focusing on the plant and animal life of the local creek. Teachers and students work with EAC staff to plan and implement creek cleanup, pollution reduction and restoration projects for their local creeks, including:

planting trees and wildflowers along creeks,

organizing community creek clean-up projects, raising tree frogs in classrooms to release back into creek homes.

designing and distributing creative outreach materials to inform peer classes and student's communities about reducing urban runoff pollution..

These projects are coordinated with local agencies managing urban creek habitats. These include: East Bay Regional Park District Supervisors, East Bay Municipal Utility District Ranger-Naturalists, City Clean Water Program Coordinators. EAC has ongoing relationships with these p u p s. Collaborating with these organizations ensures project success.

#### School-Wide Creek Restoration Program

EAC works with every class and grade level, in target schools, to implement a kindergarten through fifth grade creek curriculum. Students, teachers and parents work with EAC in a school-wide effort to study, clean-up and restore the adopted creek habitat. In 2001 we will expand this program at John Muir School, adopting Harwood Creek in Berkeley and begin a new program at Verde School, adopting Wildcat Creek in Richmond.

#### Pollution Reduction/Safe Bay Food Consumption Program

EAC partners with fourth grade teachers and students to study bay-delta issues that particularly affect communities of color in the San Francisco Bay Area. In seven two-hour EAC lessons and four planning meetings, teachers and students learn about the unique physical, biological and geographical features of the estuary. They study problems of urban runoff pollution, industrial pollution, pesticide runoff and how toxins accumulate in bay estuary food chains. In action projects, students:
organize neighborhood storm drain clean-up projects,

teach peer classes about reducing urban runoff pollution problems,

express concerns about industrial pollution issues using letter writing campaigns, interviews with politicians and play performances,

interview people fishing on bay piers about safe bay food consumption and distribute informational flyers in seven different languages,

demonstrate safe bay fish cooking to families at school-community events and teach the safety precautions that reduce health risks.

# Creek And Bay Field Trip Exploration Pr -

EAC partners with kindergarten - fifth grade classes to lead one day field trips at creek and bay habitats. Students and teachers study the physical and biological properties of the particular habitat and learn about conservation issues affecting the ecosystem. EAC provides a field trip preparation and follow-up activity package.

# Bay Estuary Scientist Program

EAC partners with kindergarten -fifth grade classes to study the features of the bay estuary in three-hour classroom workshops. Students and teachers are engaged in hands-on science activities and learn about bay conservation issues. EAC provides a workshop preparation and follow-up activity package.

# Community Creek Clean-Up Program

EAC partners with teachers, students, their families and the City Of San Pablo Clean Water Program, to organize a community clean-up for Wildcat Creek which flows through Davis Park in San Pablo.

c. Hypothesis Being Tested

Effective environmental education leads to active involvement with estuary habitat conservation projects, increased appreciation **for** estuary resources and increased understanding of restoration activities. The data needed to test this hypothesis is: the number of EAC participants and their level of active participation and verbal and written information from participants showing evidence of increased appreciation and understanding of estuary resources and restoration activities. The Estuary Action Challenge project addresses CALFED environmental education goals. The proposed work will improve knowledge of the efficacy of the education through action approach to environmental education, by demonstrating that a focus on problem solving, active participation in restoration and pollution reduction activities leads to a high level of community commitment to protect and restore local, estuary resources.

#### d. Adaptive Management

Estuary Action Challenge works to create effective, on-going environmental education programs in target schools. Our approach, perfected through eight *years* of experience, is to:

- promote active, hands-on restoration and stewardship of local habitats
- provide long-term, in-depth, experiential training **for** teachers
- partner with strong teams of teachers and the school principal
- involve parents
- collaborate with ongoing efforts of local agencies.

The EAC approach is education through action. The students learn about environmental issues and are given the tools and skills needed to problem solve and take action. They adopt local habitats, for example an urban creek near their school, and help to clean-up and restore them. They work on issues that impact their daily lives, for example pollution, environmentaljustice and safe bay food consumption. In EAC programs, students connect with the world around them and are empowered to help restore a healthier environment.

The EAC model of working directly with teachers and students works because it provides indepth support and hands-on trainingfor teachers. An EAC Leader works with each class throughout the school year, for eight two-hour sessions, modeling activities and developing projects. Sessions are spread out to allow teachers time for extensive follow-up activities with students. Teachers also have four planning meetings with the EAC Leader. Once trained in EAC, teachers continue programs year after year, using the activities they have learned, our curriculum guide, educational resources and equipment. In the year following their training, teachers meet with EAC staff to plan the implementation of the programs they have learned. EAC provides any additional resources needed and observes some lessons for each teacher, to give feedback and support. In April, past teachers come together for an EAC sharing of the work they have completed with their students.

EAC staff meet with school principals at target schools to discuss the level of commitment to the proposed program. When a principal is excited about having an EAC program become an on-going, important feature of the school curriculum, we identify ways in which she will support the participation of her teachers. EAC targets teams of teachers at schools with principal buy-in. Teachers in the teams created support one another and share resources.

Parent involvement and support helps ensure success of student's EAC action projects. The students invite their families to participate in special educational events about pollution reduction and safe bay food consumption, to come on field **trip** and to help with restoration projects. Parents become excited about EAC programs and help ensure that they continue.

EAC collaborates with local agencies, for example City Clean Water Programs, Regional Park Supervisors and Watershed Land Managers, to involve students in ongoing restoration efforts. This increases community involvement and helps to ensure project success.

#### e. Educational Objectives

EAC programs will increase understanding of CALFED goal 4. - to protect or restore functional habitat types throughout the watershed for public values, by actively involving communities in restoration activities. EAC programs will increase understanding of CALFED goal 6. - to improve and maintain water and sediment quality to eliminate toxic impacts on organisms in the system, including humans, by actively involving communities in pollution reduction and safe bay food consumption activities and issues.

From October 2000 - June 2001, EAC will work with 105 teachers and **2,500** students in the programs described. **75%** of students are children of color from low-income, urban schools.

EAC programs primarily focus on hands-on activities in the classroom and the field, including scientific investigation, restoration and community outreach, all implemented by the students themselves. We also incorporate literature, videos and public events. Our curriculum guides have been developed over eight years of field testing with feed back from teachers, students and other experts. The EAC program model provides the unique opportunity for teachers to learn alongside their students.

Our students lead presentations for their families at special community events where students teach their families what they have learned in EAC programs. Student presentations are very creative! They demonstrate experiments, lead educational games, perform rap songs, dances and plays to teach the concepts that they have learned.

EAC evaluates what students have learned from portfolios of student's work, success of action projects implemented and quality and content of information presented at community outreach events. We evaluate the number of teachers to continue teaching EAC programs as a result of their hands-on training. EAC staff follow up with teachers for one year after their training, helping them to plan their program, observing and giving feedback on the lessons taught and bringing teachers together to share successes and problem solve.

# 2. Proposed Scope of Work

# a. Location and/or Geographic Boundaries of the Project

The project will take place in Ecozone Two, San Francisco Bay. EAC partner schools are located in Richmond and San Pablo in Contra Costa County and Oakland and Berkeley in Alameda County. Adopted creek habitats are Wildcat Creek in Richmond and San Pablo, Strawberry Creek and Harwood Creek in Berkeley and Sausal Creek in Oakland. Adopted bay habitats are Arrowhead Marsh in Oakland and Shorebird Park in Berkeley, near Berkeley Marina.

b. Approach

<u>Objective:</u> Increase the number of teachers using local bay and creek habitats as key educational resources to empower students to help solve bay-delta estuary environmental problems.

<u>Methods:</u> EAC works directly with teachers and students in the classroom and in the field, to implement programs. This approach provides the unique opportunity for teachers to learn alongside their students and ensures that teachers are fully trained to continue teaching programs.

<u>Data Collection:</u> Evaluate programs for each teacher and class of students participating using written evaluation forms and meetings to assess program quality and durability.



Survey teachers to evaluate the number of teachers participating in EAC programs continuing to teach programs as a result of the training they have received.

Objective: Adopt, clean-up and restore urban creek habitats.

Methods: EAC students work with local agencies and restoration efforts to grow native trees and wildflowers and plant them beside creeks, organize community creek clean-up projects and raise and release tree frogs into their native creek habitats.

<u>Data Collection:</u> Compare adopted creek habitats before and after projects.

<u>Objective:</u> Increase public awareness of methods to reduce urban runoff pollution to the estuary.

Methods: EAC students conduct school neighborhood surveys and record evidence of pollutants that can drain into creeks and the bay. Students learn about the effects of these urban runoff pollutants on the estuary ecosystem and its food chains. They plan creek and neighborhood clean-ups and educational projects to teach others about personal actions that reduce urban runoff pollution. These include: not dumping in storm *drains*, fixing leaks from cars immediately, reducing use of cars and using alternative transportation, properly disposing of garbage and recycling. Students plan projects by brainstorming possibilities, selecting practical projects and working in planning groups. Student projects include:

school-wide assemblies including plays, slide shows and presentations,

displaying educational posters,

making T-Shirts with anti-pollution logos.

EAC also teaches about the sources and affects of pesticide runoff to the estuary. Students learn alternatives to using pesticides in their gardens and the benefits of buying organic and pesticide free produce from local farmer's markets.

Data\_Collection: Student's work portfolios. Responses to outreach efforts.

Objective: Increase the number of people aware of bay pollution issues and using safe bay food consumption practices to reduce intake of toxins and improve community health.

Methods: EAC teaches about the impact of industrial pollution on the bay ecosystem. Students interview local politicians about reducing bay pollution and write letters to factory/refinery owners and politicians expressing their concerns about bay pollution and environmental health. EAC teaches about the health risks associated with bay food and the safety precautions that reduce those risks. A safe bay fish cooking demonstration, games about biomagnification of pollutants in the food chain and informational flyers in seven different languages are some of the teaching tools used. Students invite their families to their classrooms and present safe bay fish cooking demonstrations, translating into the languages of the community. Students make informational flyers to distribute and interview people fishing on local bay piers about safe fishing and cooking practices using their bilingual skills to provide information.

Data Collection: Student's work portfolios. Responses to outreach efforts.

**Equipment** used includes: Educational materials: 'maps,posters, microscopes, dip nets, fish and crabs, steam cookers, videos, books, art supplies.

Restoration materials: plant pots, trowels, watering cans, shovels, seeds, garbage bags, gloves, aquariums.

<u>Facilities:</u> EAC programs will take place on <u>site</u> at partner schools, in creek and bay habitats and at the EAC offices.

<u>Criteria used in hypothesis testing:</u> The number of students, teachers and parents directly participating in habitat conservation programs. The increase in understanding of the estuary issues taught.

c. Monitoring and Assessment Plans

CALFED goal 4. - to protect or restore functional habitat types throughout the watershed for public values.

Evaluation: Assess the level of active participation in habitat restoration projects among

our program participants.

<u>CALFED goal 6.</u> - to improve and maintain water and sediment quality to eliminate toxic impacts on organisms in the system, including humans.

Evaluation: Assess the level of active participation in pollution reduction and safe bay food

consumption projects among program participants.

Overall Evaluation: Assess the increased understanding of CALFED goals 4. & 6. in program participants through verbal and written evaluations.

These goals and evaluations also tie in with our objectives and evaluations, described below.

Objective: Increase the number of teachers using local bay and creek habitats as educational resources to empower students to help solve estuary environmental problems. Evaluation: Determine the percentage of teachers trained who continue teaching programs.

Objective: Adopt, clean-up and restore urban creek habitats.

**Evaluation:** 

amount of trash cleaned from an adopted creek

number of trees and wildflowers planted

number of native animals raised and released.

Objective, Increase public awareness of methods to reduce urban runoff pollution to the estuary.

Evaluation:

quality and quantity of educational projects about reducing urban runoff pollution, amount of garbage removed in habitat and neighborhood clean up projects.

Objective: Increase the number of people aware of bay pollution issues and using safe bay food consumption practices to reduce intake of toxins and improve community health. Evaluation:

quality and quantity of letters and interviews about reducing pollution to factory and

refinery owners and politicians.

quality and quantity of flyers about safe cooking and fishing practices circulated to the school community (including families) and people fishing on local piers and

# family members attending safe cooking demonstrations and responses.

d. Data Handling and Storage

Evaluations will take place throughout the school year and programs will be continually improved using feedback Final evaluations will take place at the completion of each program to make improvements for future implementation. Program reports will describe how objectives were met and the knowledge gained through the evaluation process. Highlights of programs will be communicated to all participants and constituents of EAC in our newsletter. The EAC Newsletter contains articles to describe work accomplished in our programs and articles written by student participants. It is circulated to approximately 1,000 teachers, environmentalists and collaborators. EAC also publishes project articles in Earth Island Journal, distributed to approximately 15,000 members.

e. Expected Products/Outcomes

One goal of EAC is to change the field of environmental education to focus on education through action, including restoration, pollution reduction and community outreach. We work to empower's tudents to become part of the solution to environmental problems. We are committed to diversity and environmental justice in our work. EAC will present at environmental education conferences and collaborative forums to educate other organizations about our effective, model programs. These will include: the Bay Area Environmental Education Resources Fair, the Talks In The Hallway series (focusing on creek education and restoration), county Watershed Forums, Independent Documentary Group Film showings, Earth Island Institute's Party For The Planet event and others.

#### **f. Work Schedule:** Please see Table One for tasks and time line.

A \$50,000 CALFED Bay-Delta contract will fund

- 6 classes in the Urban Creek Restoration Program
- 6 classes in the Pollution ReductiodSafe Bay Food Consumption Program **30%** of the School-Wide Creek Programs
- 8 classes in the Bay Estuary Scientists Workshops Program
- 8 classes in the Creek and Bay Field Trip Exploration Program.

#### A \$40,000 contract will fund

- **5** classes in the Urban Creek Restoration Program
- 5 classes in the Pollution ReductiodSafe Bay Food Consumption Program
- 6 classes in the Bay Estuary Scientists Workshops Program
- 6 classes in the Creek and Bay Field Trip Exploration Program.

#### g. Feasibility

#### Evaluation Of Teacher Training Approaches

Over the past eight years, Estuary Action Challenge has worked with many teachers who have already participated in Teacher **Training** Workshops for environmental education, but have done nothing as a result. They felt workshops did not translate into their classroom experience. Only after participating in EAC programs, in which staff actually partner with teachers and students and work with them in their local environment, modeling activities that work with a class of 30 plus students, were teachers empowered to go on to teach the programs themselves.

"I have been participating in environmental trainings for about four years. This is the first time that I will be able to actually teach concepts using meaningful lessons. I now have appropriate activities for my student's levels. I feel confident in creek environments because of the Estuary Action Challenge Program."

Diane Brown, Teacher, Riverside School, San Pablo.

In the urban schools we work in, there is a very limited budget for equipment and resources needed to teach effective environmental education. The EAC model of partnering with a class for the whole school year, modeling activities, providing equipment and resources and the curriculum guide, provides the level of support that elementary school teachers need.

"The Estuary Action Challenge model has huge value in breaking down barriers and getting teachers to try hands-on science. It provides the stepping stone needed to get teachers using the resources available."

Craig Strung, Associate Director, Lawrence Hall Of Science.

**Timing:** EAC has eight years of experience implementing the programs described in the time line defined.

<u>Permits/Access To Private Property Required</u>: The EAC project will collaborate with local agencies for all restoration activities. These agencies have ongoing restoration projects and have taken care of all permits and access issues required. Therefore EAC does not require any permits. All educational activities take place on public lands. There are no other contingencies, requirements or outstanding implementation issues.

# ESTUARY ACTION CHALLENGE WORK SCHEDULE Table One

| Task  | Start Date           | To Be Completed By   |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Program Implementation 1. Purchase Education & Restoration Supplies | October 1,2000       | March 15,2001        |
| 2. 2 School-Wide Creek Restoration Programs                         | October 1,2000       | June 15,2001         |
| 3. 1 Community Creek Clean-up<br>Program                            | October 1,2000       | October 31,2000      |
| <b>4.</b> 10 Urban Creek Restoration Programs                       | October 15, 2000     | April 30, 2001       |
| 5. 20 Bay Estuary Scientist<br>Workshops                            | November 1,2000      | March 31,2001        |
| 6. 10Pollution Reduction/Safe<br>Bay Food Programs                  | December 1,2000      | May 31,2001          |
| 7. 20 Bay & Creek Field Trip Explorations                           | April 15,2001        | June 10,2001         |
| Project Management 1. Recruit Teacher Participants                  | October 1,2000       | October 31, 2000     |
| 2. Prepare Curriculum Guides  | October 1,2000       | October 31,2000      |
| <b>3.</b> Plan Projects With Collaborators                          | October 15, 2000     | November 30, 2000    |
| <b>4.</b> Planning Meetings With Teachers                           | October 15,2000      | December 31, 2000    |
| 5. Winter Newsletter Circulation                                    | October 15, 2000     | December 31,2000     |
| <b>6.</b> Teacher Evaluation Meetings                               | May 1,2001           | June 10,2001         |
| 7. Program Evaluations  | June <b>10,</b> 2001 | July 31, <b>2001</b> |
| 8. Summer Newsletter Circulation                                    | May 15, 2001         | August 31,2001       |
| 9. Final Reports  | August 1,2001        | September 30, 2001   |

# D. Applicability to CALFED ERP Goals and Implementation Plan and CVPIA Priorities

#### 1. ERP Goals and CVPIA Priorities

This proposal addresses ERP goals to:

• restore, protect and manage riparian habitat

• reduce concentration and loading of sediments in the aquatic environment and bioaccumulation by aquatic species. This objective encompasses affects on human health.

The primary species targeted are:

• migratory birds • steelhead trout • tree frogs • native, creek-side plants.

The EAC project will make progress toward the sated goals by cleaning up creek habitats, planting creek-side trees and shrubs and raising and releasing tree frogs, cleaning up neighborhoods around storm drains, educating the public about ways to reduce urban runoff pollution and educating the public, factory owners and politicians about reducing industrial and pesticide pollution to the bay-estuary ecosystem. EAC will provide crucial outreach and information on safety procedures to follow when eating fish from the bay to those most affected. While CALFED is working to reduce loading of contaminants, bay food chains remain polluted. It is crucial that action is taken to inform citizens about health risks resulting from consuming bay fish in the interim period.

#### 2. Relationship to Other Ecosystem Restoration Projects

Last year, Estuary Action Challenge received a \$50,000 grant from CALFED to implement and expand our environmental education programs described in this proposal. This funding helped us to partner with 90 teachers and 2,000 students in five programs and start a new school-wide creek program. With continued funding from CALFED we will continue to expand the number of teachers and students we partner with, become more established in our target schools with grade level teams and school-wide programs and continue to work on our adopted habitats.

Thirty classes (900 students and **30** teachers) each year, participating in the EAC project watch the movie, Kids By The Bay, which features EAC students in our programs, cleaning up and restoring habitats of the San Francisco Bay. Kids By The Bay was produced by Independent Documentary Group (**DG**) **Films** and released in 1997 as an educational tool. **DG** Films received CALFED funding in 1998 and 1999 to show an Environmental Film Festival in the nine counties of the San Francisco Bay Area and in the Delta. Kids By The Bay was part of this film festival and Estuary Action Challenge staff spoke at these events.

#### 3. Requests for Next-Phase Funding Relationship To Previously Funded Phases *Of* The Project

This proposal requests funding to continue with the Estuary Action Challenge programs funded by CALFED for October 99 - September 2000. With continued CALFED funding, EAC will increase the impact of all programs in our target schools. We will also expand these programs into new schools. Our unique model of providing long term support and hands-on training for teachers as they learn alongside their students, helps teachers continue teaching our programs year after year. By working with grade level teams and encouraging buy-in from the school principal, we become established in schools which continue to use the curricula and equipment introduced in our professional development packages. There are teachers at our targeted schools on a waiting list to become part of the EAC school teams and new schools that are ready to begin working with us. A grant from CALFED will help us to partner with these schools and teachers and continue to focus on our adopted bay and creek habitats.

Continued **funding** from CALFED will help EAC to expand our new School-Wide Creek Education program. Several of our schools are committed to creating school-wide programs focusing on the local creek as part of the school reform agenda. EAC has developed a kindergarten through fifth grade urban creek curriculum that focuses on investigating the local creek, reducing pollution and restoration activities. We plan to teach this at two schools, including 25 teachers and 600 students, in 2000 -2001.

#### Current Status Of Project

The Estuary Action Challenge Environmental Education project, funded by CALFED and private foundations, is meeting set goals and objectives, progressing on schedule and all programs will be completed according to the set time line.

#### Progress And Accomplishments

We have exceeded our goals, in terms of numbers of teachers and students participating in our programs. In October 1999 - June 2000 we are partnering with:

- fourteen classes in five schools in the Urban Creek Restoration program
- ten classes in four schools in the Pollution Reduction/Safe Bay Food Consumption program
- twenty four classes in the Bay Estuary Scientists program
- twenty five classes in the Creek and Bay Field Trip program seventeen classes in the Community Creek Clean-up program

Please see summary of existing project status, attached.

#### 4. Previous Recipients of CALFED or CVPIA funding

Estuary Action Challenge/Earth Island Institute received CALFED funding in 1999 - 2000 for the Estuary Action Challenge Environmental Education Project. The project number is 00FC20014.

# Current Status Of Project & Progress And Accomplishments

- **2000** elementary school students, **90** teachers and approximately 400 parents actively participated in habitat restoration, pollution reduction and safe bay **food** consumption activities
- 90 teachers trained to continue teaching EAC estuary education programs
- 25 community creek clean-up projects completed
- over 200 riparian plants planted along creeks by EAC students
- approximately 500 Pacific tree frogs raised in classrooms and released into pond and creek habitats
- approximately 500 student designed posters, brochwres and T-shirts about reducing urban runoff pollution displayed and distributed to school communities
- 200 student letters about reducing pollution to the bay sent out to politicians
- 10 classes of 30 students interviewed people fishing on bay piers and passed out informational flyers on safe bay food consumption in seven different languages
- 10 creative student presentations to families about reducing pollution to the bay and taking precautions in fishing for, cooking and eating bay fish, attended by an average of twenty parents for each presentation

#### 5. System-Wide Ecosystem Benefits

Estuary Action Challenge works with Sandy Neumann, Education Director for the Center For Ecoliteracy, Laurette Rogers, Education Director for the Bay Institute and BC Capps from the Aquatic Outreach Institute to bring together environmental education leaders from organizations throughout the San Francisco Bay Area working on watershed issues. This provides opportunities for sharing successful strategies, group problem solving and collaboration on key watershed education issues.

# **E.** Qualifications

#### **Brief Biosketches**

EAC Executive Director, Mandi Billinge, founded Estuary Action Challenge and has directed program development and organization expansion for the past eight years. Mandi has a **B.S.** Honors Degree in Biology from England, specializing in freshwater and estuarine ecology, a Teaching Credential from Leeds University, England and the Development Director's Certificate from the University Of San Francisco.

EAC Education Director, Jocelyn Manuel, holds a **B.A.** Honors Degrees in Education and a Teaching Credential. She has taught science and environmental education in elementary schools for three years and EAC programs for the past year, with excellent feedback from principals, teachers, students and collaborators.

"hisprogram, without a doubt, is the best I have ever seen! The instructor Jocelyn

Manuel, materials, experiments • everything was superb!"

Kathy Moran, Fourth Grade Teacher, John Swett School, Oakland

EAC Community Relations Director, Maryam Diaab, has taught environmental education in elementary schools for five years. She has taught EAC programs for the past year, with excellent feedback from principals, teachers, students and collaborators.

"Maryamis an excellent instructor. She has wonderful rapport with the children. Her enthusiasm is appreciated. Maryam's management skills are exceptional." Marion Wallach, Third Grade Teacher, Redwood Heights School, Oakland.

Part of the EAC mission is equal access, but there are very few people of color working in environmental education. EAC staff are from diverse ethnic and cultural backgrounds. We recruit through partnerships with our schools and Diversity Network In October 2000 -September 2001 we will expand our Multicultural Environmental Leadership positions.

## Planned Organization Of Staff

The EAC Executive Director, Education Director and Community Relations Director will implement the EAC project. The E.D. will work with school principals, teachers, students and family members to develop programs, supervise Directors, coordinate with collaborators, oversee the evaluation process and publish the EAC Newsletter. The Program Directors will work with teachers, students, family members and collaborators to implement programs. They will also be responsible for managing equipment and resources.

# **Previous Accomplishments**

Estuary Action Challenge is the environmental education project of Earth Island Institute, focusing on the San Francisco Bay Estuary. Earth Island is a nonprofit organization supporting a network of environmental projects. EAC was created in 1992 and in the past eight years 400 teachers and 10,000 students have participated in our programs. EAC curricula, restoration, pollution reduction and community outreach programs have been developed with high input from teacher and student participants and collaborators.

Each year, for the past eight years, EAC students have:

planted trees and wildflowers along urban creeks,

- organized community creek and bay habitat clean-ups,
- raised tree frogs in classrooms to release back into creek homes,
- designed and distributed creative outreach materials to inform school communities about reducing urban runoff pollution,
- expressed concerns about estuary pollution issues using letter writing campaigns, interviews with politicians and play performances,
- interviewed people fishing on bay piers about safe bay food consumption

and distributed informational flyers in seven different languages, demonstrated safe bay fish cooking to families at school-community events and taught safety precautions that reduce health risks.

In 1996, EAC received the Future Of The Bay Award from Save San Francisco Bay Association for innovative environmental education and restoration projects, presented by Mayor Willie Brown. Student participants in EAC are featured in the **Kids** By The Bay movie by Independent Documentary Group Films, released in 1997 as an educational tool.

#### **Comments From EAC Partners**

Jackie McCort, Sierra Club Foundation Project Coordinator, April **2000** We are proud to fund Estuary Action Challenge. This is **an** outstanding organization with absolutely wonderful, stellar programs. Please come to our press release party - we would love for everyone to hear the story of your work!"

Kathy Maloney, Principal, Sequoia School, Oakland, March **2000**This is a wonderful program and very well organized. The creek curriculum is excellent and ties in well with our school curriculum. The students are really engaged. I am excited to see them getting out into the local creek environment and doing community action projects. The teachers are really benefiting from their work with you. They are happy, so I am happy! I would like to see this EAC program continue and more classes become involved."

Krista Easton, Fourth Grade Teacher, Melrose School, Oakland, April 2000 "Good ideas that you see in a book rarely make it to the classroom because you don't have the supplies or you aren't sure how to do it. The EAC program is great because we can rely on your subject expertise while you train us and we know exactly how to teach it next year."

Ms. Martin, Fourth Grade Teacher, Lincoln School, Richmond, April **2000** The EAC Pollution ReductiodSafe Bay Food Consumption program is excellent. The children are learning and having fun. I am really benefiting from seeing your teaching techniques. I love the layout of the curriculum guide. It makes the lessons easy to follow and the binder helps me to keep on **top** of the program."

#### **Collaborators**

EAC collaborates with local agencies to implement restoration activities. These include the East Bay Municipal Utility District, East Bay Regional Park District, Friends Of Sausal Creek, Friends Of Five Creeks and city Clean Water Programs. Each year our student participants plant over one hundred native trees and hundreds of wildflowers on the public property managed by these agencies in collaborative restoration projects. City Clean Water Programs work with EAC to advertise community creek clean-up projects and promote public involvement.

#### F. Cost

#### 1. Budget

Please see budget, Table Two, 'and Summary Budget, Table Three, attached.

#### Salary/Benefits

EAC provides services at a fee of \$50.00 pel: hour. This includes staff time as needed for each task EAC staff benefit costs will not be applied to this particular grant. The rate of pay for the Executive Director is an annual compensation of \$52,000 and for the Education and Community Relations Directors \$32,000 each. 35% of each position will be spent on the portion of programs funded by CALFED.

#### Supplies Include

educational materials; maps, posters, microscopes, dip nets, fish and crabs, steam cookers, videos, books, art supplies.

restoration materials; plant pots, trowels, watering cans, shovels, seeds, garbage bags, gloves, aquariums.

#### Service Contracts

This does not apply to this project.

#### Overhead Costs

Overhead costs include general office space, officesupplies, copying and printing, rent, phones, computers, faxes, internet access. This is calculated at **25%** of direct salary.

#### Project Management

This includes recruiting and selecting schools and principals, teacher participants, planning and evaluation meetings with teachers, planning projects with collaborators, program evaluations and reports. For costs associated with management tasks, please see budget.

#### Schedule

The project will begin October 1,2000 and end September 30,2001. Please see Project Schedule, attached, Table 1.

# 2. Cost Sharing

EAC will provide \$5,000 of in-kind equipment and resources for this project, including educational and restoration materials.

Collaborators work with EAC as an in-kind contribution to the project. Four collaborators will donate 20 - 40 hours of work at \$50.00 per hour. This is a total of at least \$4,000.

## Other Funding Commitments

EAC raises funds each year from private foundations.

For **2000 - 2001**, funds include:

#### Grants Awarded

Sierra Club Foundation, \$30,000

Goldman Fund, \$20,000 (continued funding)

#### Grants Pending

Center For Ecoliteracy, \$30,000 (continued funding)

San Francisco Foundation, \$15,000 (continued funding)

Haas Fund, \$10,000, (continued funding)

Rose Foundation, \$10,000, (continued funding)

# ESTUARY ACTION CHALLENGE BUDGET FOR TASKS FUNDED BY CALFED Table Two

| Task  | Labor Hours | Salary | Supplies | _Overhead | Total  |
|---|-------------|--------|----------|-----------|--------|
| Program Implementation 1. Purchase Education & Restoration Supplies | 30          | 1500   | 2500     | 375       | 4375   |
| 2. Winter/Spring Newsletter Circulation                             | 30          | 1500   |          | 375       | 1875   |
| 3. 30% School Wide Creek<br>Restoration Program                     | 60          | 3000   |          | 750       | 375.0  |
| 4. Eight Bay Estuary Scientist Workshops                            | 60          | 3000   |          | 750       | 3750   |
| 5. Six Urban Creek Restoration Programs                             | 140         | 7000   |          | 1750      | 8750   |
| <b>6.</b> Six Pollution Reduction/Safe Bay Food Programs            | 140         | 7000   |          | 1750      | 8750   |
| 7. Summer/Fall Newsletter Circulation                               | 30          | 1500   |          | 375       | 1875   |
| 8. Eight Bay & Creek Field Trip Explorations                        | 55          | 2750   |          | 687.5     | 3431.5 |
| Project Management 1. Recruit Participants                          | 80          | 4000   |          | 1000      | 5000   |
| 2. Prepare Curriculum Guides  | 20          | 1000   |          | 250       | 1250   |
| 3. Plan Projects With Collaborators                                 | 25          | 1250   |          | 312.5     | 1562.5 |
| <b>4.</b> Planning Meetings With Teachers/Principals                | 25          | 1250   |          | 312.5     | 1562.5 |
| 5. Teacher Evaluation Meetings                                      | 25          | 1250   |          | 312.5     | 1562.5 |
| 6. Program Evaluations  | 25          | 1250   |          | 312.5     | 1562.5 |
| 7. Final Reports  | 15          | 750    |          | 187.5     | 937.5  |
| TOTAL   |             | 38000  | 2500     | 9500      | 50000  |

# ESTUARY ACTION CHALLENGE PROJECT SUMMARY BUDGET, Table Three

| Task   | Oct Dec. | Jan March | May - June | July - Sept. | Total |
|--|----------|-----------|------------|--------------|-------|
| Program Implementation 1. Purchase Education & Restoration Equipment | 4375     |           |            |              |       |
| 2. Winter/Spring Newsletter Circulation                              | 1875     |           |            |              |       |
| 3. 30% School-Wide Creek<br>Restoration Program                      |          |           | 3750       |              |       |
| <b>4.</b> Eight Bay Estuary Scientist Workshops                      |          | 3750      |            |              |       |
| 5. Six Urban Creek Restoration Programs                              |          |           | 8750       |              |       |
| <b>6.</b> Six Pollution ReductiodSafe Bay <b>Food</b> Programs       |          |           | 8750       |              |       |
| 7. Summer/Fall Newsletter Circulation                                |          |           | 1875       |              |       |
| 8. Eight Bay & Creek Field Trip Explorations                         |          |           | 3437.5     |              |       |
| Project Management 1. Recruit Teacher Participants                   | 5000     |           |            |              |       |
| 2. Prepare Curriculum Guides   | 1250     |           |            |              |       |
| 3. Plan Projects With Collaborators                                  | 1562.5   |           |            |              |       |
| 4. Planning Meetings With Teachers                                   | 1562.5   |           |            |              |       |
| 5. Teacher Evaluation Meetings                                       |          |           | 1562.5     |              |       |
| <b>6.</b> Program Evaluations  |          |           |            | 1562.5       |       |
| 7. Final <b>Reports</b>  |          |           |            | 937.5        |       |
| TOTAL  | 15625    | 3750      | 28125      | 2500         | 50000 |

#### G. Local Involvement

#### Notification To Alameda And Contra Costa Counties

Wilma Chan, President of the Alameda County Board of Supervisors and Donna Gerber, Chairman of the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors have been notified of this project. Please see the notification letters, attached. EAC has also notified Donald Freitas, Manager of the Contra Costa County Clean Water Program and Lesley Estes, Board President of the Alameda County Clean Water Program of the project. The Bay Conservation and Development Commission has also been notified. All these agencies have received copies of the EAC proposal.

#### Local Groups Supporting Project

EAC was created in 1992 and in the past eight years **400** teachers and 10,000 students have participated in our programs. **75%of** our students are children of color from low-income, urban schools in West Contra Costa County, Berkeley Unified and Oakland Unified School Districts. EAC has an excellent reputation for delivering high quality, effective programs. There is a great deal **of** support in local schools for EAC programs and a waiting list of teachers hoping to **work** with us.

From October 2000 - June 2001, with funding from CALFED and private foundations, EAC will partner with 105 teachers, 2,500 students, approximately 200 parents and **20** school principals in our estuary education programs.

EAC collaborates with local agencies to implement restoration activities. These include the East Bay Municipal Utility District, East Bay Regional Park District, Friends Of Sausal Creek, Friends Of Five Creeks and city Clean Water Programs. Each year our student participants plant over one hundred native trees and hundreds of wildflowers on the public property managed by these agencies in collaborative restoration projects. City Clean Water Programs work with EAC to advertise community creek clean-up projects and promote public involvement. Collaborators have been informed of the submission of this CALFED Bay-Delta proposal.

#### Plan For Public Outreach

EAC publicizes programs at target schools by posting flyers, distributing brochures and letters and making phone calls. Interested principals and teachers contact EAC staff for informational meetings. Teachers complete application forms, demonstrating their commitment to integrating the EAC program into the ongoing school curriculum. EAC selects teams of teacher participants from target schools based on informational interviews and application forms.

One goal of EAC is to change the field of environmental education to focus on education through action, including restoration, pollution reduction and community outreach. We work to empower students to become part of the solution to environmental problems. We are committed to diversity and environmental justice in our work. EAC will present at environmental education conferences and collaborative forums to educate other organizations about our effective, model programs. These will include: the Bay Area Environmental Education Resources Fair, the Talks In The Hallway series, county Watershed Forums, Independent Documentary Group Film showings, Earth Island Institute's Party For "he Planet event and others.

#### Third Party Impacts

Student's parents and other family members will benefit from involvement with the EAC project. Students will recruit their family members to participate *in* restoration, pollution reduction and safe bay food consumption projects and field trips.

# H. Compliance with Standard Terms and Conditions (see forms)

Estuary Action Challenge and Earth Island Institute will comply with the state and federal standard terms. Please see attachments.

## I. Literature Cited

None cited.

# J. Threshold Requirements

- Please see attachments:

   Summary of Current Project (2 pages)

   Letters of Notification

  - Environmental Compliance Checklist Land Use Checklist

  - State and Federal Forms

# **Estuary Action Challenge Environmental Education Project**

Summary Of Existing Project Status

**Project Description** 

The Estuary Action Challenge (EAC) environmentaleducation project focuses on the San Francisco Bay Estuary. EAC works with elementary school teachers and students to explore, clean-up and restore **creek** and bay habitats, reduce urban runoff pollution and address issues of water **quality** and safe bay food consumption. CALFED awarded \$50,000 to expand EAC programs in October 99 to September 2000.

#### Summary Of Scientific Merrit

#### Hypothesis

Effective environmental education leads directly to active participation in conservation programs.

#### Conceptual Model

EAC programs address the following environmental needs:

• Many of our East Bay Area urban creeks are trashed, smothered with weeds and lack indigenous plant and animal species.

• Toxins from factories, refineries, pesticide runoff and dumping in storm drains are polluting the bay-delta estuary ecosystem.

• Many people fish from the San Francisco Bay. The food caught is contaminated with pollutants that cause cancer and brain damage.

#### Each year EAC students:

• plant trees and wildflowers along urban creeks,

- organize community creek and bay habitat clean-ups,
- raise tree frogs in classrooms to release back into creek homes,
- design and distribute creative outreach materials to inform school communities about *reducing* urban runoff pollution.

communities about *reducing* urban *runoff* pollution, express concerns about estuary pollution issues using letter writing campaigns, interviews with politicians and play performances,

• interview people *fishing* on bay piers about safe bay *food* consumption and distribute informational flyers in seven different languages,

• demonstrate safe bay fish cooking to families at school-communityevents and teach safety precautions that reduce health risks.

## Adaptive Management Framework

Estuary Action Challenge works to create effective, on-going environmental education programs in target schools. Our approach, perfected through eight years of experience, is to:

- promote active, hands-on restoration and stewardship of local habitats
- provide long-term, in-depth, experiential training for teachers
- partner with strong teams of teachers and the school principal
- involve parents
- collaborate with ongoing efforts of local agencies.

# Current status of project

The project is meeting set goals and objectives, progressing on schedule and all programs will be completed according to the set time line. All programs were fully funded for 10/1999 - 9/2000. There are no outstanding regulatory or implementation issues.

We have exceeded our goals, in terms of numbers of teachers and students participating in our programs. **In** October 1999 - June 2000 we are partnering with:

- fourteen classes in five schools in the Urban Creek Restoration program
- ten classes in four schools in the Pollution Reduction/Safe Bay Food Consumption program
- twenty four classes in the Bay Estuary Scientists program
- twenty five classes in the Creek and Bay Field Trip program
- seventeen classes in the Community Creek Clean-up program

#### Accomplishments To Date

- 2000 elementary school students, 90 teachers and approximately 400 parents actively participated in EAC habitat restoration, pollution reduction and safe bay food consumption activities
- 90 teachers trained to continue teaching EAC estuary education programs
- 25 community creek clean-up projects completed
- over 200 riparian plants planted along creeks by EAC students approximately 500 Pacific tree frogs raised in classrooms and released into pond and creek habitats
- approximately 500 student designed posters, brochures and T-shirts about reducing urban runoff pollution displayed and distributed to school communities
- 200 student letters about reducing pollution to the bay sent out to politicians
- 10 classes of 30 students interviewed people fishing on bay piers and passed out informational flyers on safe bay food consumption in seven different languages
- 10 creative student presentations to families about reducing pollution to the bay and taking precautions in fishing for, cooking and eating bay fish, attended by **an** average of twenty parents for each presentation

## Summary of the existing data collection and monitoring program, FAC evaluates:

- the number of teachers, students and family members actively participating in EAC conservation programs
- the number of teachers trained who continue teaching programs
- number of creek clean-up projects
- number of trees and wildflowers planted number of native animals raised and released
- quality and quantity of educational projects about reducing urban runoff pollution,
- quality and quantity of letters and interviews about reducing pollution to factory and refinery owners and politicians.
- quality and quantity of flyers about safe cooking and fishing practices circulated to the school community (including families) and people fishing on local piers and responses,
- # family members attending safe cooking demonstrations and responses.

Each item evaluated relates to an accomplishment described above.

# Information Generated

- teacher evaluation meetings and written forms
- meetings with principals
- comments from parents attending events
- portfolios of students' work
- photographs of projects

This year, EAC staff interviewed teachers, principals and parents to find out how we can most effectively ensure ongoing programs in our target schools. The information generated helped us to design the Adaptive Management Plan described above and included in the current proposal.

STATE OF CAUTORNA

#### NONDISCRIMINATION COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

STD. 19 (REV. 346) FMC

COMPANY NAME

The company **named** above (hereinafter referred to **as** "prospective contractor") hereby certifies, **unless** specifically exempted, compliance with Government Code Section **12990** (a-f) and California Code of Regulations, Title **2**, Division **4**, chapter 5 in **matters** relating to reporting requirements and the development, implementation and maintenance of a **Nondiscrimination Program**. Prospective contractor agrees not to **unlawfully** discriminate, harass or **allow** harassment against **any** employee or applicant **for** employment because of **sex**, race, color, ancestry, religious creed, national origin, disability (including HIV **and** AIDS), medical condition (cancer), age, marital **status**, **denial** of family **and** medical *care* leave and denial of pregnancy disability leave.

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I, the official named below, hereby swear that I am duly authorized to legally bind the prospective contractor to the above described certification. I am fully aware that this certification, executed on the date and in the county below, is made under penalty of perjury under the laws & the State & California.

| OFFICIAL'S NAME                   |                           |  |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| John A. Knox                      |                           |  |
| DATE EXECUTED                     | EXECUTED IN THE COUNTY OF |  |
| 4/28/2000                         | San Francisco             |  |
| PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTORS SIGNATURE |                           |  |
| -thinkfree                        |                           |  |
| SONSOCITIME CONTRACTORS TITLE     |                           |  |

Executive Director
PROSPECTIVE CONTRACTOR'S LEGIAL BUSINESS NAME

Farth Island Institute

18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, ALL DATA INTHIS APPLICATION PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT, THE DOCUMENTHAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWARDED.

a. Type Name of Authorized Representative JOHN A. KNOX

Executive Director

c, Telephone Number (415) 738-3666

d. Signature/of Authorized Bapresentative

Previous Edition Usable

Authorizedfor Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424 (Rev. 7-97)

Prescribedby OMB Circular A-102

|                             |  | SEC            | CTION A - BUDGET SU         | MMARY                | 11000                 |              |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Grant Program C Function Do | atalog of Federal<br>mestic Assistance | Estimated U    | Estimated Unobligated Funds |                      | New or Revised Budget |              |
| or Activity<br>(a)          | Number<br>(b)                          | Federal<br>(C) | Non-Federal<br>(d)          | Federal<br>(e)       | Non-Federal<br>(f)    | Total<br>(g) |
| 1. CAL FED Bay-Delta        |  | \$<br>         | \$                          | <sup>\$</sup> 50000  | \$ 50000              | \$100000     |
| 2.                          |  |                |                             |                      |                       |              |
| 3.                          |  |                |                             |                      |                       |              |
| 4.                          |  |                |                             |                      |                       |              |
| 5. Totals                   |  | \$             | \$                          | \$                   | \$                    | \$           |
|                             |  | SECT           | TION B - BUDGET CAT         | EGORIES              |                       |              |
| 6. Object Class Categories  |  |                |                             | FUNCTION OR ACTIVITY |                       | Total        |
|                             |  | (1)<br>e       | (2)                         | (3)                  | (4)                   | (5)          |
| a. Personnel                |  | \$<br>76000    | <b>P</b>                    | Ф                    | <b></b>               | \$ 76000     |
| b. Fringe Benelits          |  |                |                             |                      |                       |              |
| c. Travel                   |  |                |                             |                      |                       | ,            |
| d. Equipment                |  |                |                             |                      |                       | Į.           |
| e. Supplies                 |  | 5000           |                             |                      |                       | 5000         |
| f. Contractual              |  |                |                             |                      |                       |              |
| g. Construction             |  |                |                             |                      |                       |              |
| h. Other                    |  |                |                             |                      |                       |              |
| i. Total Direct Charg       | es <i>(sum of 6a-6h)</i>               | 81000          |                             |                      |                       | 81000        |
| j. Indirect Charges         |  | 19000          |                             |                      |                       | 19000        |
| k. TOTALS (sum of           | 6i and6j)                              | \$ 100000      | \$                          | \$                   | \$                    | \$ 100000    |
| 7. Program Income           |  | <b> </b>       | \$                          |                      | [\$                   | \$           |

|                                   | SECTION            | NC - NON-FEDERAL F | RESOURCES        |                                  |   |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| (a) Grant Program                 |                    | (b) Applicant      | (c) State        | (d) Other Sources<br>Foundations | (e) TOTALS  |
| 3. CALFED Bay-Dolta               |                    | \$                 | \$               | \$50000                          | \$50000   |
| 3.                                |                    |                    |                  |                                  |   |
| 10.                               |                    |                    |                  |                                  |   |
| 11.                               |                    |                    |                  |                                  | -   |
| 12. TOTAL (sum of lines 8-11)     |                    | \$                 | <b>\$</b>        | \$50000                          | \$ 50000  |
|                                   | SECTION            | N D- FORECASTED C  | ASH NEEDS        |                                  | 4. 4  |
|                                   | Total for 1st Year | 1st Quarter        | 2nd,Quarter      | 3rd Quarter                      | 4th Quarter   |
| 13. Federal                       | \$ 50000           | \$ 15625           | \$ 3750          | \$ 28125                         | \$ 2500   |
| 14. Non-Federal                   | 50000              | 15625              | 3750             | Z8125                            | 2500  |
| 15. TOTAL [sum of lines 13and 14) | \$ 100000          | \$ 31250           | \$ 7500          | \$ 56250                         | \$ scc  |
| SECTION E - BU                    | DGET ESTIMATES OF  | FEDERAL FUNDS N    | EEDED FOR BALANC | EOFTHE PROJECT:                  | The same  |
| (a) Grant Program                 |                    |                    |                  | NG PERIODS (Years)               |   |
|                                   |                    | (b) First          | (c)Second        | (d) Third                        | · (e) Fourth  |
| 16.                               |                    | \$100000           | \$               | \$                               | s   |
| 17.                               |                    |                    |                  |                                  |   |
| 18.                               |                    |                    |                  |                                  | -   |
| 19.                               |                    |                    |                  |                                  |   |
| 20. TOTAL [sum of fines 16-19)    |                    | \$100000           | \$               | \$                               | \$  |
|                                   | SECTION            | F-OTHER BUDGET II  | NFORMATION       |                                  | The second se |
| 21. Direct Charges:               |                    | 22. Indire         | ect Chaiges:     |                                  |   |
| 23. Remarks:                      |                    |                    |                  |                                  |   |

#### U.S. Department of the Interior

Certifications Regarding Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters, Drug-Free Workplace Requirements and Lobbying

Persons signing this form should refer to the regulations referenced below for complete instructions:

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters - Primary Covered Transactions - The prospective primary participant further agrees by submining this proposal that it will include the clause titled. "Certification Regarding Debarment. Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transaction." provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions. See below for language to be used; use this form for certification and sign: or use Department of the Interior Form 1954 (DI-1954). (See Appendix A of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions - (See Appendix B of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements - Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals) and Alternate II. (Grantees Who are Individuals1 - (See Appendix C of Subpart D of 43 CFR Part 12.)

Signature on this form provides for compliance with certification requirements under 43 CFR Parts 12 and 18. The certifications shall be treated as a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the Department of the Interior determines to award the covered transaction, grant, cooperative agreement or loan.

PART A: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters - Primary Covered Transactions

CHECK \_\_ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR A PRIMARY COVERED TRANSACTION AND IS APPLICABLE.

- (1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:
  - Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible. or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency:
  - (b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property:
  - Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph [1][b] of this certification; and
  - (d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal. State or local) terminated for cause or default.
- (2) Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

PART B: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions

CHECK \_\_ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR A LOWER TIER COVERED TRANSACTION AND IS APPLICABLE.

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies. by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

DI-2010 March 1995 (This form consolidates DI-1953. DI-1954, DI-1355. DI-1955 and DI-19631

# CHECK X IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR AN APPLICANT WHO IS NOT AN INDIVIDUAL

Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals)

- A. The grantee certifies that it will or continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:
  - (a) Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;
  - (b) Establishing an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform employees about--
    - (1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;
    - (2) The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;
    - (3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and
    - (4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace:
  - Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a);
  - (d) Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph la) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will --
    - III Abide by the terms of the statement; and
    - (2) Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;
  - (e) Notifying the agency in writing, within ten calendar days after receiving notice under subparagraph [dH2] from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to every grant officer on whose grant activity the convicted employee was working, unless the Federal agency has designated a central point for the receipt of such notices. Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant;
  - (f) Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under subparagraph [d][2], with respect to any employee who is so convicted --
    - Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended: or
    - (2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency:
  - IgI Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), IcI, (d), Ie) and IfI.
- B. The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:

Place of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code)

<u>Farth Island Institute 300 B</u> <u>Suite **28.** San Francisco, CA Estuary Action Challenge, 653 coarrangton Boulevard. Richmond, CA</u>

Check \_\_ if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here,

PART D: Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

CHECK\_\_ IF THIS CERTIFICATION IS FOR AN APPLICANT WHO IS AN INDIVIDUAL.

Alternate II. (Grantees Who Are Individuals)

- (a) The grantee certifies that, as a condition of the grant, he or she will not engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution. dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in conducting any activity with the grant:
- (b) If convicted of a criminal drug offense resulting from a violation occurring during the conduct of any grant activity. he or she will report the conviction, in writing, within 10 calendar days of the conviction, to the grant officer or other designee, unless the Federal agency designates a central point for the receipt of such notices. When notice is made to such a central point, it shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant.

DI-2010 March 1995 (This form consolidates DI-1953, DI-1954, DI-1955. DI-1956 and DI-19631

#### PART E: Certification Regarding Lobbying

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

CHECK\_\_ IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE A WARD OF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AND THE AMOUNT EXCEESS \$100,000: A FEDERAL GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT, SUBCONTRACT, OR SUBGRANT UNDER THE GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT.

CHECK\_\_ IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE AWARD OF A FEDERAL LOAN EXCEEDING THE AMOUNT OF \$150,000, OR A SUBGRANT OR SUBCONTRACT EXCEEDING \$ 700,000. UNDER THE LOAN.

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, and officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL. "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
- The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

As the authorized certifying official. I hereby certify that the above specified certifications are true.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL

TYPED NAME AND TITLE John A Knox, Executive ilirector

DATE 4/28/2090

01-2010

#### **ASSURANCES - NON-CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS**

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed. and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information. including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0040)Washington, DC 20503.

# PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENTAND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

**NOTE** Certain of these assurances may not be applicable to your project or program. If you have questions, please contact the awarding agency. Further, certain Federal awarding agencies may require applicants to certify to additional assurances. If such is the case, you will be notified.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I certify that the applicant:

- Has the legal authority to apply for Federal assistance and the institutional, managerial and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management and completion of the project described in this application.
- Will give the awarding agency, the Comptroller General of the United States and, if appropriate, the State, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the award; and will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or agency directives.
- 3. Will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
- 4. Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time frame after receipt of approval of the awarding agency.
- 5. Will comply with the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. §§4728-4763) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the 19 statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
- 6. Will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to:
  (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin: (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20U.S.C. \$1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex: (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation

- Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps: (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse: (f) the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse or alcoholism: (g) §§523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42U.S.C. §§290 dd-3 and 290 ee 3). as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42U.S.C. §§3601 et seq.). as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and, (j) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.
- 7. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provide for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal or federally-assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
- Will comply, as applicable, with provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.

- Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. §§276a to 276a-7), the Copeland Acl (40 U.S.C. §276c and 18 U.S.C. §§74), and the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. §§327-333), regarding labor standards for federally-assisted construction subagreements.
- Will comply, if applicable, with flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is \$10,000 or more.
- 11. Will comply with environmental standards which may be prescribed pursuant to the following: (a) institution of environmental quality control measures under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L 91-190) and Executive Order (EO) 11514; (b) notification of violating facilities pursuant to EO 11738; (c) protection of wetlands pursuant to EO 11990; (dj evaluation of fiood hazards in floodplains in accordance with EO 11988; (e) assurance of project consistency with the approved State management program developed under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. §§1451 et seq.); (f) conformity of Federal actions to State (Clean Air) Implementation Plans under Section 176(c) of the Ciean Air Act of 1955, as emended (42 U.S.C. §§7401 et seq.); (a) protection of underground sources of drinking water under the Saie Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended (P.L. 93-523); and, (h) protection of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (F.L. 93-205).

- 12. Will comply with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. 551271 et seq.) related to protecting components or potential components of the national wild and xenic rivers system.
- 13. Wall assist the awarding agency in assuring compliance with Section 106 of the Natronal Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (1€ U.S.C.§470), EO 11593 (identification and protection of historic properties), and the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. §§469a-1 el seq.).
- 14. Will comply with P.L. 93-348 regarding the protection of human subjects involved in research, development, and related activities supported by this award of assistance
- 15. Will comply with the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-544, as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§2131 α seq.) pertaining to the care, handling, and treatment of warm blooded animals held for research, teaching, or other activities supported by this aware of assistance.
- 16. Will comply with the Lead-Sased Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. §§4801 et seq.) which prohibits the use of lese-based paint in construction or rehabilitation of residence structures.
- 17. Will cause to be performed the required financial and compliance audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OM3 Circular No. A-133. "Audits of States. Local Governments. and Non-Profit Organizations."
- 18. Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws. executive orders regulations. and policies governing this program.

| SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL     | TITLE              |
|---|--------------------|
| John A Kreen                                    | Function Discoston |
| APPLICANT ORGANIZATION                          | Executive Director |
| Earth Island Institute/Estuary Action Challenge | 4/24/2000          |

# **Environmental Compliance Checklist**

All applicants must fill out this Environmental Compliance Checklist. Applications must contain answers to the following questions to be responsive and to be considered for funding. *Failure to answer these auestions and include them with the application will result in the application being considered nonresponsive and not considered for funding.* 

| 1. | Do any of the actions included in the proposal require compliance with either the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), or both?  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
|    | YES NO   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | If you answered yes to # 1, identify the lead governmental agency for CEQAMEPA compliance.   |  |  |  |  |
|    | Lead Agency  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | If you answered no to # 1, explain why CEQAMEPA compliance is not required for the actions in the proposal.  There are no endangered species present.  There is no significant strucutral work involved - only planting of native, riparian plants along creeks. |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | If CEQA/NEPA compliance is required, describe how the project will comply with either <b>or</b> both of these laws. Describe where the project is in the compliance process and the expected date of completion.   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Will the applicant require access across public or private property that the applicant does not own to accomplish the activities in the proposal?  |  |  |  |  |
|    | YES NO   |  |  |  |  |
|    | If yes, the applicant must attach written permission for access from the relevant property owner(s). Failure to include  |  |  |  |  |

If yes, the applicant must attach written permission for access from the relevant property owner(s). Failure to include written permission for access may result in disqualification of the proposal during the review process. Research and monitoring field projects for which specific field locations have not been identified will be required to provide access needs and permission for access with 30 days of notification of approval.

| Please indicate what permits or other boxes that apply.  | er approvals may be required for the activities contained in your proposal. Check all |
|--|---|
| Conditional use permit Variance Subdivision Map Act approval Grading permit General plan amendment Specific plan approval Rezone Williamson Act Contract cancellation Other (please specify) None required |   |
| STATE CESA Compliance Streambed alteration permit CWA § 401 certification Coastal development permit Reclamation Board approval Notification Other   | (CDFG) (CDFG) (RWQCB) (Coastal Commission/BCDC) (DPC, BCDC)                           |
| Sone required  |   |
| FEDERAL ESA Consultation Rivers & Harbors Act permit CWA § 404 permit Other (please specify)   | (USFWS) (ACOE) (ACOE)   |

DPC = Delta Protection Commission CWA = Clean Water Act CESA = California Endangered Species **Act** USFWS = U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ACOE = U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

ESA = Endangered Species Act CDFG = California Department of Fish and Game RWQCB = Regional Water Quality Control Board BCDC= Bay Conservation and Development Comm.

#### Land Use Checklist

All applicants must fill out this Land Use Checklist for their proposal. Applications must contain answers to the following questions to be responsive and to be considered for funding. *Failure to answer these questions and include them with the application will result in the application being considered nonresponsive and not considered for funding.* 

| 1. | Do the actions in the proposal involve physical changes to the land(i.e. grading, planting vegetation, or breeching levees) or restrictions in land use (i.e. conservation easement or placement of land in a wildlife refuge)? |   |                        |  |  |
|----|---|---|------------------------|--|--|
|    | YES YES   | NO  |                        |  |  |
| 2. | . If NO to # 1, explain what type of actions are  | O to # 1, explain what type of actions are involved in the proposal (i.e., research only, planning only).   |                        |  |  |
| 3. | . If YES to # 1, what is the proposed land use  | change or restriction under the proposal  | ?                      |  |  |
|    | <b>Planting</b> native, riparian pl   | •   |                        |  |  |
|    | and wildflowers. for environmental education - restoration  |   |                        |  |  |
| 4. | <b>DEFOUTABLE</b> . If YES to # 1, is the land currently under a V  | 'illiamson Act contract?  |                        |  |  |
|    |   | NO<br>X   |                        |  |  |
|    | YES   | ио  |                        |  |  |
| 5. | If YES to # 1, answer the following:  |   |                        |  |  |
|    | Current land use  | reservior water   | shed & public parks    |  |  |
|    | Current zoning  | none  | vation plan (internal) |  |  |
|    | Current general plan designation  | habitat_conserv   | Zarion pian (internal) |  |  |
| 6. | · ·   | f YES to #1, is the land classified as Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance or Unique Farmland on the Department of Conservation Important Farmland Maps? |                        |  |  |
|    | YES   | NO DON'T KNO  | w                      |  |  |
| 7. | If YES to #1, how many acres of land will h   | YES to #1, how many acres of land will he subject to physical change or land use restrictions under the proposal?   |                        |  |  |
| 8. | 3. If YES to # 1, is the property currently being   | YES to # 1, is the property currently being commercially farmed or grazed?  |                        |  |  |
|    |   | H<br>&  |                        |  |  |
|    | YES   | NO  |                        |  |  |
| 9. | O. If YES to #8, what are   | the number <b>of</b> employeeslacrethe total number of employees  |                        |  |  |

| ίΟ.        | Will the applicant acquire any interest in land under the proposal (fee title or a conservation easement)?  |                 |  |
|------------|---|-----------------|--|
|            | YES   | X<br>NO         |  |
| 11.<br>12. |   |                 |  |
|            | Total number of acres to be acquired under proposal<br>Number of acres to be acquired in fee<br>Number of acres <i>to</i> be subject to conservation easement |                 |  |
| 13.        | For all proposals involving physical changes to the land or restriction in land use, describe what entity or organization will:                               |                 |  |
|            | manage the property   |                 |  |
|            | provide operations and maintenance services   | FBAUD and EBRPD |  |
|            | conduct monitoring  | EBHUD and EBRPD |  |
| 14.        | For land acquisitions (fee title <b>or</b> easements), will existing water rights also be acquired?   |                 |  |
|            | YES   | NO NO           |  |
| 15.        | Does the applicant propose any modifications to the water right or change in the delivery of the water?   |                 |  |
|            |   | Χ               |  |
|            | YES   | NO              |  |
| 16.        | If YES to X 15. describe  |                 |  |
|            |   |                 |  |



### Earth Island Institute

6534 Arlington Boulevard, Richmond. CA 91805 • Tel/Fax: (510)235-3785• Email: crnhill@ml.com

Mandi Billinge, Director

April 25,2000

Will Travis
Executive Director
'Bay Conservation and Development Commission
50 California Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

Dear Mr. Travis,

I am writing to inform you that Estuary Action Challenge has applied for continued funding from the CALFED Bay-Delta program to enable us to continue to expand our programs. Last year we received a grant of \$50,000, which we have requested again.

Estuary Action Challenge (EAC) is an environmental education organization which provides professional development for teachers and academic enrichment for students. Teachers learn to use the local environment as a rich educational resource and to empower students to become environmental stewards.

EAC was created in 1992 and in the past eight years **400** teachers and 10,000 students have participated in our programs. Over **75%** of our students are children of color from low-income, urban schools.

The project will take place in CALFED Ecozone Two, the San Francisco Bay. EAC partner schools are located in Richmond and San Pablo in Contra Costa County and Oakland and Berkeley in Alameda County. Adopted creek habitats are Wildcat Creek in Richmond and San Pablo, Strawberry Creek and Harwood Creek in Berkeley and Sausal Creek in Oakland. Adopted bay habitats are Arrowhead Marsh in Oakland and Shorebird Park in Berkeley, near Berkeley Marina.

Our programs address the following community environmental health problems:

- Many of our East Bay Area urban creeks are trashed, smothered with weeds and lack indigenous plant and animal species.
- Toxins fi-om factories, refineries, pesticide runoff and dumping in storm drains is polluting the *air* we breathe and the food we eat.
- Many people fish from the San Francisco Bay. The food caught is contaminated with pollutants that cause cancer and brain damage.

Each year Estuary Action Challenge students:

- plant hundreds of trees and wildflowers along urban creeks,
- organize community creek and bay habitat clean-ups,

- raise tree *frogs* in their classrooms to release back **into** creek homes,
- design and distribute creative outreach materials to inform their communities about reducing urban runoff pollution,
- express their concerns about pollution issues using letter writing campaigns, interviews with politicians and play performances,
- interview people **fishing** on bay piers about safe bay food consumption and distribute informational flyers in seven different languages,
- demonstrate safe bay fish **cooking** to their families at school-community events and teach the safety precautions that reduce health risks.

The EAC professional development package trains teachers to continue teaching programs with each new class of students.

"Our Estuary Action Challenge program was outstanding. My students learned so much about their local environment and were given many opportunities to act as leaders in their communities. I plan to use the entire curriculum next year with my new students."

Sonja Ebel, Fourth Grade Teacher, Hawthorne School, Oakland.

I have enclosed a copy of our proposal and a copy of our latest newsletter. I will be happy to answer any questions about our work.

Sincerely,

Mandi Billinge

Director



### A Project of Earth Island Institute

6534 Arlington Boulevard, Richmond. CA 94805 \* Tel/Fax: (510) 235-3785 \* Email: cruhillEml.com

Mandi Billinge, Director

April 25,2000

Lesley Estes Board President Alameda County Clean Water Program 250 Frank H. O'Gawa Plaza Suite 5301 Oakland, **CA** 94612

Dear Lesley,

I hope you are doing well.

I am writing to let you know that Estuary Action Challenge has applied for continued funding from the CALFED Bay-Delta program to enable us to continue to expand our programs. Last year we received a grant of \$50,000, which we have requested again.

We began work on Sausal Creek in Dimond Park this year with two classes from Sequoia School. We plan to expand this program with continued funding, to include more teachers from Sequoia and Glenview Schools. Teachers, students and parents are extremeley enthusiastic about our creek program. The principal, Kathy Maloney, Principal of Sequoia Said

This is a wonderful program and very well organized. The creek curriculum is excellent and ties in well with our school curriculum. The students are really engaged. I am excited to see them getting out into the local environment and doing community action projects. The teachers are really benefiting from their work with you. They are happy, so I am happy! I would like to see the program continue and more classes become involved."

With funding from CALFED we will partner with 105 teachers and 2,500 students next year. Our programs what address the following community environmental health problems:

• Many of our East Bay Area urban creeks are trashed, smothered with weeds and lack indigenous plant and animal species.

• Toxins from factories, refineries, pesticide runoff and dumping in **storm** drains is polluting the *air* we breathe and the food we eat.

• Many people fish from the San Francisco Bay. The food caught is contaminated with pollutants that cause cancer and brain damage.

Estuary Action Challenge students will:

• plant hundreds of trees and wildflowers along urban creeks,

organize community creek and bay habitat clean-ups, raise tree frogs in their classrooms to release back into creek homes,

design and distribute creative outreach materials to inform their communities about reducing urban runoff pollution,

express their concerns about pollution issues using letter writing campaigns, interviews with politicians and play performances.

interview people fishing on bay piers about safe bay food consumption and distribute informational flyers in seven different languages,

demonstrate safe bay fish cooking to their families at school-community events and teach the safety precautions that reduce health risks.

As you know, the EAC professional development package trains teachers to continue teaching programs with each new class of students.

"Our Estuary Action Challenge program was outstanding. My students learned so much 'about their local environment and were given many opportunities to act as leaders in their communities. I plan to use the entire curriculum next year with my new students."

Sonja Ebel, Fourth Grade Teacher, Hawthorne School, Oakland.

I have enclosed a copy of our proposal and a copy of our latest newsletter. I will be happy to answer any questions about our work

Best wishes,

Mandi Billinge

Mardi ). Billinge

Director



## A Project of Earth Island Institute

6534 Arlington Boulevard, Richmond, CA 94805 • Tel/Fax: (510) 235-3785 • Email: crubill@aol.com

Mandi Billinge, Director

April 25,2000

Donald Freitas Manager Contra Costa County Clean Water Program 255 Glacier Drive Martinez, CA 94553

Dear Mr. Freitas,

I am writing to inform you that Estuary Action Challenge has applied for continued funding from the CALFED Bay-Delta program to enable us to continue to expand our programs. Last year we received a grant of \$50,000, which we have requested again.

Estuary Action Challenge (EAC) is an environmental education organization which provides professional development for teachers and academic enrichment for students. Teachers learn to use the local environment as a rich educational resource and to empower students to become environmental stewards.

EAC was created in **1992** and in the past eight years **400** teachers and 10,000 students have participated in our programs. We work in elementary schools in Richmond and San Pablo in Contra Costa County and in Oakland and Berkeley in Alameda County. Over **75%** of our students are children of color from low-income, urban schools.

Our programs address the following community environmental health problems:

- Many of our East Bay Area urban creeks are trashed, smothered with weeds and lack indigenous plant and animal species.
- Toxins from factories, refineries, pesticide runoff and dumping in storm drains is polluting the air we breathe and the food we eat.
- Many people fish from the San Francisco Bay. The food caught is contaminated with pollutants that cause cancer and brain damage.

Each year Estuary Action Challenge students:

plant hundreds of trees and wildflowers along urban creeks,

• organize community creek and bay habitat clean-ups,

- raise *tree* frogs in their classrooms to release back into creek homes,
- design and distribute creative outreach materials to inform their communities about reducing urban runoffpollution,
- express their concerns about pollution issues using letter writing campaigns, interviews with politicians and play performances,
- interview people **fishing** on bay piers about safe bay food consumption and distribute informational flyers in seven different languages,

• demonstrate safe bay fish cooking to their families at school-community events and teach the safety precautions that reduce health risks.

The EAC professional development package **trains** teachers to continue teaching programs with each new class of students.

"Our **Estuary** Action Challenge program was outstanding. My students learned so much about *their* local environment and were given many opportunities *to* act as leaders in their communities. **I** plan *to* use the entire curriculum next *year* with my new students."

Sonja **Ebel**, Fourth Grade Teacher, Hawthorne School, Oakland.

I have enclosed a copy of our proposal and a copy of our latest newsletter. I will be happy to answer any questions about *our* **work** 

Mandi). Bellinge

Mandi Billinge Director



#### A Project of Earth Island Institute

6534 Arlington Boulevard, Richmond, CA 94805 \* Tel/Fax: (510) 235-3785 \* Email: crubill@aol.com

Mandi Billinge, Director

April 25, 2000

Wilma Chan President Alameda County Board Of Supervisors 1221 Oak Street Oakland, CA 94612

Dear Ms. Chan,

I am writing to inform you that Estuary Action Challenge has applied **for** continued funding from the **CALFED** Bay-Delta program to enable us to continue to expand our programs. Last year we received a grant of \$50,000, which we have requested again.

Estuary Action Challenge (EAC) is an environmental education organization which provides professional development for teachers and academic enrichment for students. Teachers learn to use the local environment as a rich educational resource and to empower students to become environmental stewards.

EAC was created in **1992** and in the past eight years 400 teachers and 10,000 students have participated in our programs. We work in elementary schools in Richmond and San Pablo in Contra Costa County and in Oakland and Berkeley in Alameda County. Over **75%** of our students are children of color from low-income, urban schools.

Our programs address the following community environmental health problems:

• Many of our East Bay Area urban creeks are trashed, smothered with weeds and lack indigenous plant and animal species.

Toxins from factories, refineries, pesticide runoff and dumping in **storm** drains is polluting the air we breathe and the food we eat.

• Many people fish from the San Francisco Bay. The food caught is contaminated with pollutants that cause cancer and brain damage.

Each year Estuary Action Challenge students:

- plant hundreds of trees and wildflowers along urban creeks,
- organize community creek and bay habitat clean-ups,
- raise tree frogs in their classrooms to release back into creek homes,
- design and distribute creative outreach materials to inform their communities about reducing urban runoff pollution,
- express their concerns about pollution issues using letter writing campaigns, interviews with politicians and play performances,

• interview people fishing on bay piers about safe bay food consumption and distribute informational flyers in seven different languages.

distribute informational flyers in seven different languages, demonstrate safe bay fish **cooking** to their families at school-community events and teach the safety precautions that reduce health risks.

The **EAC** professional development package trains teachers to continue teaching programs with each new class of students.

"Our Estuary Action Challenge program was outstanding. My students learned so much about *their* local environment and were given many opportunities to act as leaders in their communities. I plan to use the entire curriculum next year with my new students."

Sonja Ebel, Fourth Grade Teacher, Hawthorne School, Oakland.

**I** have enclosed a copy of our proposal and a copy of our latest newsletter. **I** will be happy to answer any questions about our work.

Sincerely,

Mandi Billinge Director

andi). Bellinge



# A Project of Earth Island Institute

6534 Arlington Boulevard, Richmond, CA 94805 \* Tel/Fax: [510] 235-3785 \* Email: crubill@aol.com

Mandi Billinge, Director

April 25,2000

Donna Gerber Chair Contra Costa County Board **Of**Supervisors 309 Diablo Road Danville, CA **94526** 

Dear Ms. Gerber,

I am writing to inform you that Estuary Action Challenge has applied for continued funding from the **CALFED** Bay-Delta program to enable us to continue to expand our programs. Last year we received a grant of \$50,000, which we have requested again.

Estuary Action Challenge (EAC) is an environmental education organization which provides professional development for teachers and academic enrichment for students. Teachers learn to use the local environment as a rich educational resource and to empower students to become environmental stewards.

EAC was created in 1992 and in the past eight years 400 teachers and 10,000 students have participated in our programs. We work in elementary schools in Richmond and San Pablo in, Contra Costa County and in Oakland and Berkeley in Alameda County. Over 75% of our students are children of color from low-income, urban schools.

Our programs address the following community environmental health problems:

• Many **of** our East Bay Area urban creeks are trashed, smothered with weeds and lack indigenous plant and animal species.

• Toxins from factories, refineries, pesticide runoff and dumping in storm drains is polluting the air we breathe and the food we eat.

• Many people fish from the San Francisco Bay. The food caught is contaminated with pollutants that cause cancer and brain damage.

#### Each year Estuary Action Challenge students:

• plant hundreds of trees and wildflowers along urban creeks,

• organize community creek and bay habitat clean-ups,

- raise tree frogs in their classrooms to release back **into** creek homes,.
- design and distribute creative outreach materials to inform their communities about reducing urban runoff pollution,
- express their concerns about pollution issues using letter writing campaigns, interviews with politicians and play performances,

• interview people fishing on bay piers about safe bay food consumption and distribute informational flyers in seven different languages,

• demonstrate safe bay fish cooking to their families at school-community events and teach the safety precautions that reduce health **risks**.

The EAC professional development package **trains** teachers to continue teaching programs with each new class of students.

"Our Estuary Action Challenge program was outstanding. My students learned so much about their local environment and were given many opportunities to act as leaders in their communities. I plan to use the entire curriculum next year with my new students."

Sonja Ebel, Fourth Grade Teacher, Hawthorne School, Oakland.

I have enclosed **a** copy of our proposal and a copy of our latest newsletter. I who happy to answer any questions about our work

Sincerely,

Mandi Billinge
Director